



Published in final edited form as:

Curr Opin Psychiatry. 2013 January ; 26(1): 13–18. doi:10.1097/YCO.0b013e32835ab46d.

The Expanding Evidence Base for rTMS Treatment of Depression

Mark S. George, M.D. [Distinguished Professor of Psychiatry, Radiology and Neurosciences Director, Brain Stimulation Laboratory (BSL), Staff Physician, RH Johnson VA Medical Center, Charleston], Joseph J. Taylor, MD/PhD Student [MUSC], and E. Baron Short, MD, MSCR [Associate Professor, MUSC]

Brain Stimulation Laboratory (BSL), Psychiatry Department, Medical University of South Carolina (MUSC), Charleston, SC

Abstract

Purpose of review—Daily left prefrontal transcranial magnetic stimulation (TMS) for several weeks was first proposed as an acute treatment for depression in the early 1990's, and was FDA approved in 2008. In the past year several important studies have been published that extend our understanding of this novel treatment approach.

Recent findings—The first round of multisite clinical trials with TMS addressed whether prefrontal rTMS has efficacy and were conducted in carefully selected depressed patients who were antidepressant medication free. Several more recent studies assess the clinical effectiveness of TMS and report that about 35–40% of real world patients who are commonly taking adjunctive antidepressants reach remission with a modest side effect profile. There are also new studies examining the durability of the TMS induced antidepressant effect. 58% of TMS remitters remain remitted at 3-month follow-up.

Summary—These recent studies suggest that daily left prefrontal TMS over several weeks as a treatment for depression appears to not only have efficacy in rigorous randomized controlled trials, but is effective in real world settings, with remission in 30–40% of patients. The TMS antidepressant effect, once achieved, appears to be as durable as with other antidepressant medications or interventions. Much more research is needed, particular with issues such as the TMS coil location, stimulation intensity and frequency, and dosing strategy.

Keywords

TMS; transcranial; magnetic; stimulation; depression; treatment

1) Introduction

Transcranial magnetic stimulation (TMS) is perhaps the most popular of the new brain stimulation techniques because its clinical effects are produced without the need for a craniotomy (as with deep brain stimulation (DBS)) or seizure induction (as with

electroconvulsive therapy (ECT)). As a focal, non-invasive form of brain stimulation, TMS produces limited side effects and can be used as either a therapy or as a research tool (e.g. to measure how excitable the brain is or to produce a temporary lesion). (1–3)

TMS uses an electromagnetic coil on the scalp to create an extremely potent (near 1.5 Tesla) but brief (microseconds) magnetic field. This magnetic field enters the surface of the brain without interference from the skin, muscle, and bone. In the brain, the magnetic pulse encounters nerve cells and induces electrical current to flow. Thus, the magnetic field created from electrical energy in the coil passes through the skull and is converted back into electrical energy in the brain.(4) It is for this reason that TMS is sometimes called 'electrodeless electrical stimulation'.

Brief History

The idea of using TMS, or something akin to it, to alter neural function goes back to at least the early 1900's. In 1902 Pollacsek and Beer, psychiatrists working down the street from Sigmund Freud in Vienna, filed a patent to treat depression and neuroses with an electromagnetic device that looks surprisingly like today's TMS machines.(5) The modern TMS era began in 1985 when Tony Barker and colleagues, working in Sheffield England, created a focal electromagnetic device with sufficient power to induce currents in the spine. (6, 7) They quickly realized that their device could also directly and non-invasively stimulate the human brain, launching the modern TMS era.

Seizure risk

Repetitive TMS or rTMS can create behaviors not seen with single pulses, including the potential risk of causing an unintended seizure. Worldwide, out of the 300,000 or more treatment or research sessions in the history of TMS, approximately 20 seizures have occurred. (8) In the US, since market introduction of the NeuroStar TMS Therapy system in October 2008, seven seizures have been reported out of 250,000 NeuroStar TMS treatment sessions in over 8,000 patients. In five of the seven seizures, patients had concurrent use of medications that may have altered seizure threshold. The estimated risk of seizure under ordinary clinical use is approximately 1 in 30,000 treatments (0.003% of treatments) or 1 in 1000 patients (0.1% of patients). (M. Demitrack, Neuronetics, Personal Communication) This risk is less than or comparable to the risk of seizure associated with antidepressant medications.(9, 10) All TMS seizures have occurred during stimulation, rather than later, and have been self-limited with no sequelae. rTMS seizures are more likely to occur with certain combinations of TMS intensity, frequency, duration and interstimulus interval. (11, 12)

2) Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation (TMS) for Acute Treatment of Depression

In 2008, the NeuroStar TMS Therapy system (Neuronetics, Inc., Malvern, PA, USA) received FDA clearance for the treatment of adult patients with Major Depressive Disorder (MDD) who have failed to receive satisfactory improvement from one prior antidepressant medication at or above the minimal effective dose and duration in the current episode. FDA

clearance was based on a large, multisite, sham-controlled randomized study that showed that daily prefrontal TMS was a safe and effective treatment for certain patients with major depression. The observed effect sizes in both the original study population (N=301,(13)) and in the subset of patients who met the FDA approved indication for use of the NeuroStar TMS Therapy system (N=164, (14)) are of similar or greater magnitude than those observed with the majority of currently approved antidepressant medication treatments.

George et al, in a 190 patient NIMH-sponsored multisite, randomized controlled trial (called OPT-TMS) demonstrated that rTMS, as drug-free monotherapy, produced statistically significant antidepressant effects with a remission rate 4 times that of sham patients.(15) This study provided industry independent Class I evidence of safety and efficacy in a well-studied and carefully controlled cohort. Recently two additional publications resulted from this trial. McDonald et al (2011) reported on an open-label extension phase. They found that 43 of 141 (30.5%) patients who enrolled in the open phase study eventually met criteria for remission. Some patients took up to 6 weeks to fully remit.(16) Most recently Mantovani (2012) reported on the three-month durability of the TMS antidepressant response in this trial. Of the 50 patients who remitted and agreed to participate in follow-up, at 3 months, 29 of 50 (58%) were classified as in remission (HDRS-24 \leq 10), two of 50 (4%) as partial responders (30% \leq HDRS-24 reduction $<$ 50% from baseline), and one of 50 (2%) met criteria for relapse.(17)

Several other recent studies describe the effectiveness of TMS in modern clinical practice. The first was a multisite observational study in 307 real-world patients receiving Neurostar TMS in clinical practice settings.(18) With an acute course of TMS treatments (average 28.3 (SD: 10.1) treatment sessions), symptom severity ratings decreased significantly. With categorical outcomes, 58% of the subjects were responders on the primary outcome measure (CGI-S), and 37% had reached remission, with similar findings on the secondary measures. Given that over half of the subjects met criteria for resistance to two or more antidepressant trials in the current episode, outcomes were stratified by level of treatment resistance ($<$ 2 vs. \geq 2 treatment failures); response and remission rates were similar between groups (e.g. 59.4% vs. 56.8% response for low vs. high levels of resistance; 39.9% vs. 34.9% remission rates).

Connolly et al. (2012) (19) reported data from the first 100 patients treated at their university-based TMS clinical service following FDA approval. Their cohort was also treatment resistant, with a mean of 3.4 failed adequate antidepressant trials in the current episode. Thirty-one individuals had received prior lifetime ECT, and 60% had a history of psychiatric hospitalization. The CGI-I response rate was 50.6% and the remission rate was 24.7% at 6 weeks. The HDRS response and remission rates were 41.2% and 35.3%, respectively. Forty-two patients (49%) entered 6 months of maintenance TMS treatment. Sixty-two percent (26/42 patients) maintained their responder status at the last assessment during the maintenance treatment. These data from care-seeking patients suggest that TMS, unlike many therapies in medicine, does not suffer from an efficacy/effectiveness gap between clinical trials and clinical treatments.

Clinically Relevant Research

Much research is underway to determine exactly which neurons TMS affects and to elucidate the cascade of neurobiological events that follow stimulation. We do know that factors like gyral anatomy, brain atrophy and nerve fiber orientation relative to coil all impact how TMS affects neurophysiology.

Single nerve cells form themselves into functioning circuits over time through repeated discharges. Externally stimulating a nerve cell with low or high frequency electrical stimulation can cause long-term depression (LTD) or long-term potentiation (LTP), respectively. These phenomena are thought to be involved in learning, memory, and dynamic changes in neuronal networks. A very exciting aspect of TMS research, is whether non-invasive stimulation can change brain circuits over time in a manner analogous to LTD or LTP. Many studies have shown that TMS can inhibit or potentiate motor evoked potentials for several hours beyond the time of stimulation.(20–22) The clinical implications of such TMS-induced neuroplasticity are profound. If functional imaging can be used to identify faulty brain networks, then TMS or other techniques might be useful for resculpting them. Recent research indicates that TMS can induce neurogenesis.(23) (24) (25). Some basic physiological studies also suggest that neuroplastic changes are more robust when the cells being stimulated are acting as a circuit.(26–28). These findings raise the possibility that TMS could be combined with cognitive-behavioral or physical therapy.

Home TMS?—Exciting research at the cellular level has revealed that when bundles of neurons fire in the same direction, the electricity flowing through them creates a magnetic field as Maxwell’s equations would suggest. This brain-generated magnetic field can synchronize neuronal firing and is called ephaptic coupling.(29, 30) Studies in cell cultures and non-human animals have shown that weak electrical or magnetic fields produced by the brain itself can entrain neurons in widespread cortical areas. This finding opens up the possibility of influencing this meta-electrical field with ‘weak’ TMS. One company has created such an oscillating weak TMS device, with positive studies in small trials of schizophrenia and depression. (31, 32) This device (Neosync) is currently being tested in a multi-site pivotal study. If successful, this device might enable home delivery of TMS (under a doctor’s prescription) because it would likely not be able to cause a seizure.

Combining TMS with Functional Imaging—A critically important technique that might ultimately guide clinical parameters is the use of functional imaging to directly monitor TMS effects on the brain. Since different frequencies of TMS produce divergent effects on brain activity, combining TMS with functional brain imaging will better delineate not only the behavioral neuropsychology of various psychiatric syndromes, but also some of the underlying pathophysiologic brain circuits. In contrast to imaging studies with ECT, which have found that ECT shuts off global and regional activity following the seizure (33), most studies using serial scans in depressed patients undergoing TMS have found increased activity in the cingulate and other limbic regions.(34, 35)

When a neuron fires or discharges, different neurotransmitters are released in the synaptic cleft. Thus, brain stimulation methods are in one view simply ‘focal pharmacology.’ This

link between brain stimulation and traditional pharmacological views of psychiatric illnesses has been highlighted by studies using radioligands. Baeken and colleagues examined the neurobiologic impact of 10 rTMS sessions applied to the left dorsolateral prefrontal cortex (DLPFC) on postsynaptic 5-HT(2A) receptor binding indices measured with (1)(2)(3)I-5-I-R91150 single photon emission computed tomography (SPECT). Compared to controls, patients displayed significantly less bilateral dorsolateral prefrontal cortical and significantly higher left hippocampal baseline 5-HT(2A) receptor binding. Successful rTMS treatment correlated positively with 5-HT(2A) receptor binding in the DLPFC bilaterally and correlated negatively with right hippocampal 5-HT(2A) receptor uptake values. Strafella and Paus used PET to show that prefrontal cortex TMS causes dopamine release in the caudate nucleus (36) and has reciprocal activity with the anterior cingulate gyrus. (37)

Work with interleaved TMS/fMRI has shown that prefrontal TMS at 80% motor threshold (MT) produces much less local and remote blood flow change than does 120% MT TMS. (38) Our group at MUSC, (39) as well as others in Scotland (35) and Australia (40), has shown that lateral prefrontal TMS can alter the function of the anterior cingulate gyrus and other limbic regions in depressed patients. Changing the site of prefrontal stimulation (lateral vs. medial) produces different secondary activations. The effects of TMS also differ as a function of mood, cortical excitability, and other factors that alter resting brain activity. (41, 42) These results highlight the notion that cortical TMS is 'opening a window' to different cortical-subcortical networks.

Where is Depression in the Brain?

Although more work is needed, certain brain regions have been consistently implicated in the pathogenesis of depression and mood regulation (43–50). These include the medial and dorsolateral prefrontal cortex, the cingulate gyrus, and other regions commonly referred to as limbic (amygdala, hippocampus, parahippocampus, septum, hypothalamus, limbic thalamus, insula) and paralimbic (anterior temporal pole, orbitofrontal cortex). A widely-held theory over the last 20 years has been that depression results from a dysregulation of these prefrontal and limbic regions (47, 50–52). In 1995 George and colleagues performed the first open trial of daily prefrontal TMS as an antidepressant (53), followed immediately by a crossover double-blind study (54). The reasoning was that chronic, frequent, sub-convulsive stimulation of the prefrontal cortex might initiate a therapeutic cascade of events that rebalances and normalizes the dysregulated prefrontal and limbic circuitry. (55). The imaging evidence previously discussed now shows that this hunch was largely correct. Thus, modern TMS was specifically designed as a focal, non-convulsive, circuit-based approach to therapy.

Unresolved Issues

There are many unresolved issues with TMS therapy for depression. One issue is finding the best target to enhance the antidepressant effects of TMS. Moreover, it is also important to determine if this region can be found with a group algorithm or if individual imaging guidance improves results. Positioning of the TMS coil is typically based on an algorithm that researchers (including MSG) developed in early studies (53). However, this method was shown to be imprecise, depending largely on the subject's head size (56).

Another issue is determining the optimal dose over the optimal time period for alleviating depression. Most studies have stimulated patients at or above motor threshold. This is particularly important in elderly patients, where prefrontal atrophy may outpace motor cortex atrophy (57–60). There have never been dose-finding studies with rTMS. Thus, some groups are studying whether higher doses of TMS might produce more rapid or more effective results. (61) Also, there are a few case series suggesting that weekly or monthly rTMS can serve as maintenance therapy for acute responders. (62) (63, 64)

One interesting development with TMS is different coil designs.(65, 66) Most studies use a figure eight coil, which is quite focal in terms of the field created in the brain.(67) Zangen and colleagues in Israel have designed a series of TMS coils that penetrate more deeply and broadly into the brain than do traditional coils.(68, 69) A company now manufactures these coils (Brainsway). A multisite clinical antidepressant trial using such a coil showed positive results compared to sham. The FDA is currently reviewing these results for potential approval to market this coil for treating depression.

TMS as a Treatment for Other Psychiatric Conditions—TMS has also been investigated as a possible treatment for a variety of neuropsychiatric disorders. The literature in these conditions is much less extensive than for TMS as an antidepressant, and therefore conclusions about the clinical significance of effects must remain tentative until large sample studies are conducted.

TMS and Pain

Mood regulating centers in the brain overlap significantly with the neural pathways involved in pain regulation, especially the regions involved in determining whether a pain is really bothersome. Thus, researchers are exploring whether TMS might have a therapeutic role in treating acute, chronic or perioperative pain. There are exciting reports that TMS over prefrontal cortex or motor cortex acutely decreases pain in healthy adults or patients with chronic pain.(70–76) A recent RCT found that a single 20-minute session of left prefrontal rTMS given to patients in the recovery room following surgery reduced self-administered morphine by 40%. (77) In the lab, a 20-minute dose of prefrontal TMS can also increase pain thresholds. This effect is blocked in healthy volunteers by pretreatment with naloxone, suggesting that opiate receptors play a necessary role in the anti-nociceptive effects of TMS. (78, 79)

Conclusions

Overall, TMS is a promising new therapy and a powerful research tool. The body of TMS literature suggests that daily, left prefrontal TMS for 3–6 weeks has antidepressant effects that are significantly greater than sham, and that these effects in open-label studies are clinically meaningful (30% remission), with low side effects and no drug-drug interactions. The remission outcomes are at least as robust as next choice antidepressant medication. Since FDA approval TMS has been generally safe and well-tolerated with a low incidence of treatment discontinuation, and the therapeutic effects once obtained appear at least as durable as other antidepressant treatments. TMS also shows promise in several other psychiatric disorders, particularly treating acute and chronic pain.

Acknowledgments

The authors' work with brain stimulation treatments has been supported over the past five years in part by research grants from NIH, DOD, VA, and NARSAD. The BSL has also received grant funding from Brainsway, Cervel (Neurostim), Neosync, Neuronetics, Neupace, MECTA and St. Jude. Dr. George is a non-paid consultant to several TMS companies (Brainsway, Cervel, Neosync, Neuronetics). Dr. George serves or has served as a paid consultant to several non-TMS device and pharmaceutical companies. He owns no equity in any device or pharmaceutical company.

References

1. George, MS.; Belmaker, RH. Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation in Neuropsychiatry. I. George, MS.; Belmaker, RH., editors. Washington, DC: American Psychiatric Press; 2000.
2. George MS, Nahas Z, Kozel FA, Li X, Denslow S, Yamanakka K, et al. Mechanisms and State of the Art of Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation. *The Journal of ECT*. 2002; 18(4):170–81. [PubMed: 12468991]
3. George MS. Advances in Brain Stimulation: Guest Editorial. *The Journal of ECT*. 2002; 18(4):169.
4. Bohning, DE. Introduction and Overview of TMS Physics. In: George, MS.; Belmaker, RH., editors. Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation in Neuropsychiatry. Washington, DC: American Psychiatric Press; 2000. p. 13-44.
5. Beer B. Uber das Auftreten einer objectiven Lichtempfindung in magnetischen Felde. *Klinische Wochenschrift*. 1902; 15:108–9.
6. Barker AT, Jalinous R, Freeston IL. Non-invasive magnetic stimulation of the human motor cortex. *Lancet*. 1985; 1:1106–7. [PubMed: 2860322]
7. Barker AT, Freeston IL, Jalinous R, Jarratt JA. Magnetic stimulation of the human brain and peripheral nervous system: an introduction and the results of an initial clinical evaluation. *Neurosurgery*. 1987; 20(1):100–9. [PubMed: 3808249]
8. Rossi S, Hallett M, Rossini PM, Pascual-Leone A. Safety, ethical considerations, and application guidelines for the use of transcranial magnetic stimulation in clinical practice and research. *Clinical neurophysiology : official journal of the International Federation of Clinical Neurophysiology*. 2009
9. Pisani F, Oteri G, Costa C, Di Raimondo G, Di Perri R. Effects of psychotropic drugs on seizure threshold. *Drug Saf*. 2002; 25(2):91–110. Epub 2002/03/13. [PubMed: 11888352]
10. Alper K, Schwartz KA, Kolts RL, Khan A. Seizure incidence in psychopharmacological clinical trials: an analysis of Food and Drug Administration (FDA) summary basis of approval reports. *Biological psychiatry*. 2007; 62(4):345–54. Epub 2007/01/16. [PubMed: 17223086]
11. Wassermann EM, Cohen LG, Flitman SS, Chen R, Hallett M. Seizures in Healthy People with Repeated Safe Trains of Transcranial Magnetic Stimuli. *Lancet*. 1996; 347:825–6. [PubMed: 8622349]
12. Wassermann EM. Report on risk and safety of repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation (rTMS): Suggested guidelines from the International Workshop on Risk and Safety of rTMS (June 1996). *Electroencephalo Clin Neuro*. 1997; 108:1–16.
13. O'Reardon JP, Solvason HB, Janicak PG, Sampson S, Isenberg KE, Nahas Z, et al. Efficacy and safety of transcranial magnetic stimulation in the acute treatment of major depression: a multisite randomized controlled trial. *Biological Psychiatry*. 2007; 62(11):1208–16. [PubMed: 17573044]
14. Demitrack MA, Thase ME. Clinical significance of transcranial magnetic stimulation (TMS) in the treatment of pharmacoresistant depression: synthesis of recent data. *Psychopharmacol Bull*. 2009; 42(2):5–38. Epub 2009/07/25. [PubMed: 19629020]
15. George MS, Lisanby SH, Avery D, McDonald WM, Durkalski V, Pavlicova M, et al. Daily left prefrontal transcranial magnetic stimulation therapy for major depressive disorder: a sham-controlled randomized trial. *Arch Gen Psychiatry*. 2010; 67(5):507–16. Epub 2010/05/05. [PubMed: 20439832]
- *16. McDonald WM, Durkalski V, Ball ER, Holtzheimer PE, Pavlicova M, Lisanby SH, et al. Improving the antidepressant efficacy of transcranial magnetic stimulation: maximizing the number of stimulations and treatment location in treatment-resistant depression. *Depress Anxiety*. 2011; 28(11):973–80. Epub 2011/09/08. This openlabel extension of the landmark

OPT-TMS randomized trial showed that about 30% of patients remit, but that in many this can take up to 6 weeks of therapy. [PubMed: 21898711]

- *17. Mantovani A, Pavlicova M, Avery D, Nahas Z, McDonald WM, Wajdik CD, et al. Long-Term Efficacy of Repeated Daily Prefrontal Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation (Tms) in Treatment-Resistant Depression. *Depress Anxiety*. 2012 Epub 2012/06/13. This is one of the few studies examining the durability of the antidepressant response achieved by TMS. Although this followup was not the main aim of the OPT-TMS trial, the effects appear durable, at least at 3 and 6 months.
- **18. Carpenter LL, Janicak PG, Aaronson ST, Boyadjis T, Brock DG, Cook IA, et al. Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation (Tms) for Major Depression: A Multisite, Naturalistic, Observational Study of Acute Treatment Outcomes in Clinical Practice. *Depress Anxiety*. 2012 Epub 2012/06/13. This is a large industry-sponsored post Market Approval Study of TMS in general psychiatric practice. The results showed good efficacy with few side effects and low dropouts. Daily prefrontal TMS for treating acute depression appears to have true clinical effectiveness as well as efficacy in controlled trials. Of note, many of these patients had TMS delivered as an adjunct to taking antidepressant medications, without additional side effects or harm.
- *19. Connolly RK, Helmer A, Cristancho MA, Cristancho P, O'Reardon JP. Effectiveness of transcranial magnetic stimulation in clinical practice post-FDA approval in the United States: results observed with the first 100 consecutive cases of depression at an academic medical center. *J Clin Psychiatry*. 2012; 73(4):e567–73. Epub 2012/05/15. This is another effectiveness study for a clinic in Philadelphia, again showing effectiveness as an adjunctive treatment to antidepressant medications. [PubMed: 22579164]
- 20. Pal PK, Hanajima R, Gunraj CA, Li JY, Wagle-Shukla A, Morgante F, et al. Effect of low-frequency repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation on interhemispheric inhibition. *Journal of Neurophysiology*. 2005; 94(3):1668–75. [PubMed: 15872061]
- 21. Wassermann EM, Wedegaertner FR, Ziemann U, George MS, Chen R. Crossed reduction of human motor cortex excitability by 1-hz transcranial magnetic stimulation. *Neuroscience Letters*. 1998; 250(3):141–4. [PubMed: 9708852]
- 22. Di Lazzaro V, Pilato F, Saturno E, Oliviero A, Dileone M, Mazzone P, et al. Theta-burst repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation suppresses specific excitatory circuits in the human motor cortex. *Journal of Physiology*. 2005; 565(Pt 3):945–50. [PubMed: 15845575]
- 23. Jennum P, Klitgaard H. Effect of acute and chronic stimulations on pentylentetrazole-induced clonic seizures. *Epilepsy Research*. 1996; 23:115–22. [PubMed: 8964272]
- 24. Pope A, Keck ME. TMS as a therapeutic tool in psychiatry: what do we know about neurobiological mechanisms? *Journal of Psychiatric Research*. 2001; 35:193–215. [PubMed: 11578638]
- 25. Weissman JD, Epstein CM, Davey KR. Magnetic brain stimulation and brain size: relevance to animal studies. *Electroencephalo Clin Neuro*. 1992; 85:215–9.
- 26. Barnes CA, Jung MW, McNaughton BL, Korol DL, Andreasson K, Worley PF. LTP saturation and spatial learning disruption: effects of task variables and saturation levels. *J Neurosci*. 1994; 14(10):5793–806. [PubMed: 7931545]
- 27. Bartsch AP, van Hemmen JL. Combined Hebbian development of geniculocortical and lateral connectivity in a model of primary visual cortex. *Biological Cybernetics*. 2001; 84:41–55. [PubMed: 11204398]
- 28. Stanton PK, Sejnowsky TJ. Associative long-term depression in the hippocampus induced by hebbian covariance. *Nature*. 1989; 339:215–8. [PubMed: 2716848]
- 29. Frohlich F, McCormick DA. Endogenous electric fields may guide neocortical network activity. *Neuron*. 2010; 67(1):129–43. Epub 2010/07/14. [PubMed: 20624597]
- 30. Anastassiou CA, Perin R, Markram H, Koch C. Ephaptic coupling of cortical neurons. *Nat Neurosci*. 2011; 14(2):217–23. Epub 2011/01/18. [PubMed: 21240273]
- 31. Jin Y, Kemp AS, Huang Y, Thai TM, Liu Z, Xu W, et al. Alpha EEG guided TMS in schizophrenia. *Brain Stimul*. 2011 Epub 2011/10/25.
- 32. Jin Y, Potkin SG, Kemp AS, Huerta ST, Alva G, Thai TM, et al. Therapeutic Effects of Individualized Alpha Frequency Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation (α TMS) on the

- Negative Symptoms of Schizophrenia. *Schizophrenia Bulletin*. 2006; 32(3):556–61. [PubMed: 16254067]
33. Nobler MS, Oquendo MA, Kegeles LS, Malone KM, Campbell C, Sackeim HA, et al. Decreased regional brain metabolism after ECT. *American Journal of Psychiatry*. 2001; 158:305–8. [PubMed: 11156816]
 34. Teneback CC, Nahas Z, Speer AM, Molloy M, Stallings LE, Spicer KM, et al. Two Weeks of Daily Left Prefrontal rTMS Changes Prefrontal Cortex and Paralimbic Activity in Depression. *J Neuropsychiatry Clin Neurosci*. 1999; 11:426–35. [PubMed: 10570754]
 35. Shajahan PM, Glabus MF, Steele JD, Doris AB, Anderson K, Jenkins JA, et al. Left dorso-lateral repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation affects cortical excitability and functional connectivity, but does not impair cognition in major depression. *Progress in Neuropsychopharmacology and Biological Psychiatry*. 2002; 26(5):945–54.
 36. Strafella AP, Paus T, Fraraccio M, Dagher A. Striatal dopamine release induced by repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation of the human motor cortex. *Brain*. 2003; 126(12):2609–15. [PubMed: 12937078]
 37. Paus T, Castro-Alamancos MA, Petrides M. Cortico-cortical connectivity of the human mid-dorsolateral frontal cortex and its modulation by repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation. *European Journal of Neuroscience*. 2001; 14:1405–11. [PubMed: 11703468]
 38. Nahas Z, Lomarev M, Roberts DR, Shastri A, Lorberbaum JP, Teneback CT, et al. Unilateral Left Prefrontal Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation (TMS) Produces Intensity-Dependent Bilateral Effects as Measured by Interleaved BOLD fMRI. *Biological Psychiatry*. 2001; 50(9):712–20. [PubMed: 11704079]
 39. George MS, Stallings LE, Speer AM, Spicer KM, Vincent DJ, Bohning DE, et al. Prefrontal Repetitive Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation (rTMS) Changes Relative Perfusion Locally and Remotely. *Human Psychopharmacology*. 1999; 14:161–70.
 40. Mitchel P. 15 Hz and 1 Hz TMS have different acute effects on cerebral blood flow in depressed patients. *International Journal of Neuropsychopharmacology*. 2002; 5:S7–s.08.2.
 41. George, MS.; Bohning, DE. Measuring brain connectivity with functional imaging and transcranial magnetic stimulation (TMS). In: Desimone, B., editor. *Neuropsychopharmacology, Fifth Generation of Progress*. New York: Lipincott, Williams and Wilkins; 2002. p. 393-410.
 42. Paus T, Jech R, Thompson CJ, Comeau R, Peters T, Evans AC. Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation during Positron Emission Tomography: A new method for studying connectivity of the human cerebral cortex. *J Neuroscience*. 1997; 17:3178–84.
 43. George MS, Huggins T, McDermt W, Parekh PI, Rubinow D, Post RM. Abnormal Facial Emotion Recognition in Depression: Serial testing in an ultra-rapid-cycling patient. *Behavior Modification*. 1998; 22:192–204. [PubMed: 9563292]
 44. George MS. An Introduction to the Emerging Neuroanatomy of Depression. *Psychiatric Annals*. 1994; 24:635–6.
 45. George MS, Ketter TA, Parekh PI, Rosinsky N, Ring HA, Casey BJ, et al. Regional Brain Activity When Selecting a Response Despite Interference: An H215O PET study of the Stroop and an Emotional Stroop. *Human Brain Mapping*. 1994; 1:194–209. [PubMed: 24578040]
 46. George MS, Ketter TA, Parekh PI, Horwitz B, Herscovitch P, Post RM. Brain Activity During Transient Sadness and Happiness in Healthy Women. *American Journal of Psychiatry*. 1995; 152:341–51. [PubMed: 7864258]
 47. George, MS.; Ketter, TA.; Post, RM. What Functional Imaging Studies Have Revealed About the Brain Basis of Mood and Emotion. In: Panksepp, J., editor. *Advances in Biological Psychiatry*. Greenwich, Conn: JAI Press; 1996. p. 63-113.
 48. George MS, Ketter TA, Parekh PI, Rosinsky N, Ring HA, Pazzaglia PJ, et al. Blunted Left Cingulate Activation in Mood Disorder Subjects During A Response Interference Task (The Stroop). *J Neuropsychiatry Clin Neuro*. 1997; 9:55–63.
 49. Ketter TA, Andreason PJ, George MS, Lee C, Gill DS, Parekh PI, et al. Anterior Paralimbic Mediation of Procaine-induced Emotional and Psychosensory Experiences. *Archives of General Psychiatry*. 1996; 53:59–69. [PubMed: 8540778]

50. George MS, Ketter TA, Post RM. Prefrontal Cortex Dysfunction in Clinical Depression. *Depression*. 1994; 2:59–72.
51. George, MS.; Post, RM.; Ketter, TA.; Kimbrell, TA. Neural Mechanisms of Mood Disorders. In: Rush, AJ., editor. *Current Review of Mood Disorders*. Philadelphia: Current Medicine; 1995. p. 1
52. Mayberg HS, Liotti M, Brannan SK, McGinnis S, Mahurin RK, Jerabek PA, et al. Reciprocal limbic-cortical function and negative mood: converging PET findings in depression and normal sadness. *Am J Psychiatry*. 1999 May; 156(5):675–82. [PubMed: 10327898]
53. George MS, Wassermann EM, Williams WA, Callahan A, Ketter TA, Basser P, et al. Daily repetitive Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation (rTMS) improves mood in depression. *NeuroReport*. 1995; 6:1853–6. [PubMed: 8547583]
54. George MS, Wassermann EM, Williams WE, Kimbrell TA, Little JT, Hallett M, et al. Mood improvements following daily left prefrontal repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation in patients with depression: A placebo-controlled crossover trial. *American Journal of Psychiatry*. 1997; 154:1752–6. [PubMed: 9396958]
55. George MS, Wassermann EM. Rapid-rate Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation (rTMS) and ECT. *Convulsive Therapy*. 1994; 10(4):251–3. [PubMed: 7850394]
56. Herwig U, Padberg F, Unger J, Spitzer M, Schonfeldt-Lecuona C. Transcranial magnetic stimulation in therapy studies: examination of the reliability of “standard” coil positioning by neuronavigation. *Biological Psychiatry*. 2001; 50(1):58–61. [PubMed: 11457424]
57. McConnell KA, Nahas Z, Shastri A, Lorberbaum JP, Kozel FA, Bohning DE, et al. The transcranial magnetic stimulation motor threshold depends on the distance from coil to underlying cortex: a replication in healthy adults comparing two methods of assessing the distance to cortex. *Biol Psychiatry*. 2001; 49(5):454–9. [PubMed: 11274657]
58. Mosimann UP, Marre SC, Werlen S, Schmitt W, Hess CW, Fisch HU, et al. Antidepressant Effects of Repetitive Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation in the Elderly: Correlation Between Effect Size and Coil-Cortex Distance. *Archives of General Psychiatry*. 2002; 59:560–1. [PubMed: 12044199]
59. Padberg F, Zwanzger P, Keck ME, Kathmann N, Mikhael P, Ella R, et al. Repetitive Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation (rTMS) in Major Depression: Relation between Efficacy and Stimulation Intensity. *Neuropsychopharmacology*. 2002; 27:638–45. [PubMed: 12377400]
60. Kozel FA, Nahas Z, DeBrux C, Molloy M, Lorberbaum JP, Bohning DE, et al. How the distance from coil to cortex relates to age, motor threshold and possibly the antidepressant response to repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation. *J Neuropsychiatry Clin Neurosci*. 2000; 12:376–84. [PubMed: 10956572]
61. Holtzheimer PE 3rd, McDonald WM, Mufti M, Kelley ME, Quinn S, Corso G, et al. Accelerated repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation for treatment-resistant depression. *Depression and Anxiety*. 2010
62. Nahas Z, Oliver NC, Johnson M, Molloy M, Hughes PL, Ballenger JC, et al. Feasibility and Efficacy of Left Prefrontal rTMS as a Maintenance Antidepressant. *Biological Psychiatry*. 2000:57.
63. Li X, Nahas Z, Anderson B, Kozel FA, George MS. Can left prefrontal rTMS be used as a maintenance treatment for bipolar depression? *Depress Anxiety*. 2004; 20(2):98–100. [PubMed: 15390210]
64. O’Reardon JP, Blumner KH, Peshek AD, Pradilla RR, Pimienta PC. Long-term maintenance therapy for major depressive disorder with rTMS. *Journal of Clinical Psychiatry*. 2005; 66(12): 1524–8. [PubMed: 16401152]
- *65. Deng ZD, Lisanby SH, Peterchev AV. Electric field depth-focality tradeoff in transcranial magnetic stimulation: Simulation comparison of 50 coil designs. *Brain Stimul*. 2012 Epub 2012/04/10. This is a most interesting and comprehensive analysis of whether it will ever be possible to deliver TMS both deeply in the brain and focally. They conclude that there is a depth-focality tradeoff. If TMS needs to reach deep structures directly (e.g. the amygdala) it cannot do it focally without also stimulating other brain regions.
66. Huang Y, Sommer M, Thickbroom GW, Hamada M, Pascual-Leone A, Paulus W, et al. Consensus: New methodologies for brain stimulation. *Brain Stimulation: Basic, Translational and Clinical Research in Neuromodulation*. 2009; 2(1):2–13.

67. Roth BJ, Cohen LG, Hallett M. The electric field induced during magnetic stimulation. *Electroencephalography & Clinical Neurophysiology - Supplement*. 1991; 43:268–78. [PubMed: 1773764]
68. Roth Y, Zangen A, Voller B, Hallett M. Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation of Deep Brain Regions: evidence for efficacy of the H-coil. *Clinical Neurophysiology*. 2005; 116(4):775–9. [PubMed: 15792886]
69. Roth Y, Zangen A, Hallett M. A coil design for transcranial magnetic stimulation of deep brain regions. *Journal of Clinical Neurophysiology*. 2002; 19(4):361–70. [PubMed: 12436090]
70. Andre-Obadia N, Peyron R, Mertens P, Manguiere F, Laurent B, Garcia-Larrea L. Transcranial magnetic stimulation for pain control. Double-blind study of different frequencies against placebo, and correlation with motor cortex stimulation efficacy. *Clinical Neurophysiology*. 2006; 117(7): 1536–44. [PubMed: 16753335]
71. Lefaucheur JP. Transcranial magnetic stimulation in the management of pain. *Suppl Clin Neurophysiol*. 2004; 57:737–48. [PubMed: 16106677]
72. Rollnik JD, Wustefeld S, Dauper J, Karst M, Fink M, Kossev A, et al. Repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation for the treatment of chronic pain - a pilot study. *European Neurology*. 2002; 48(1):6–10. [PubMed: 12138303]
73. Lefaucheur JP, Drouot X, Nguyen JP. Interventional neurophysiology for pain control: duration of pain relief following repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation of the motor cortex. *Neurophysiologie Clinique*. 2001; 31(4):247–52. [PubMed: 11601430]
74. Pridmore S, Oberoi G. Transcranial magnetic stimulation applications and potential use in chronic pain: studies in waiting. *Journal of the Neurological Sciences*. 2000; 182:1–4. [PubMed: 11102633]
75. Johnson S, Summers J, Pridmore S. Changes to somatosensory detection and pain thresholds following high frequency repetitive TMS of the motor cortex in individuals suffering from chronic pain. *Pain*. 2006; 123(1–2):187–92. [PubMed: 16616419]
- **76. Short EB, Borckardt JJ, Anderson BS, Frohman H, Beam W, Reeves ST, et al. Ten sessions of adjunctive left prefrontal rTMS significantly reduces fibromyalgia pain: a randomized, controlled pilot study. *Pain*. 2011; 152(11):2477–84. Epub 2011/07/19. This is a promising small study showing that prefrontal TMS can help reduce fibromyalgia symptoms. [PubMed: 21764215]
77. Borckardt JJ, Weinstein M, Reeves ST, Kozel FA, Nahas Z, Smith AR, et al. Post-Operative Left Prefrontal Repetitive Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation (rTMS) Reduces Patient-Controlled Analgesia Use *Anesthesiology*. 2006; 105:557–62.
- *78. Taylor JJ, Borckardt JJ, George MS. Endogenous opioids mediate left dorsolateral prefrontal cortex rTMS-induced analgesia. *Pain*. 2012; 153(6):1219–25. Epub 2012/03/27. This research study shows that for prefrontal TMS to help reduce laboratory induced pain, it must involve the opiate system. It is not clear whether and how this links to the TMS antidepressant effects. [PubMed: 22444187]
79. Li X, Large CH, Ricci R, Taylor JJ, Nahas Z, Bohning DE, et al. Using interleaved transcranial magnetic stimulation/functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) and dynamic causal modeling to understand the discrete circuit specific changes of medications: lamotrigine and valproic acid changes in motor or prefrontal effective connectivity. *Psychiatry Res*. 2011; 194(2): 141–8. Epub 2011/09/20. [PubMed: 21924874]

KEY POINTS

- TMS is an exciting research tool and is FDA approved for treating depression.
- Repeated daily prefrontal TMS has acute antidepressant effects similar to medications or ECT, with few side effects.
- More research on the fundamental neurobiological effects of brain electrical stimulation will help these new techniques continue to improve and evolve.